

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/593,353	DAHNE ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Raymond P. Yeager	1619	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Raymond P. Yeager. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Keith Tackett. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 November 2009

**Time:** 5:30 pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

23-43

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Yeager said the claims as presented are in condition for allowance and that the restriction requirement would be withdrawn. Therefore the species would be rejoined. Examiner Yeager asked if the applicant would like the cancel claims rejoined via an examiner's amendment. Attorney Tacket indicated the applicant would like the cancelled claims (34-43) rejoined..